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CONTEXT
Epidemiology & Survey by MSMGF

Considerations
Key Message for Funders

PEPFAR
Relevance from IOM Evaluation

Global Fund
New Funding Mechanism

Agenda
MSM has Much Higher HIV Prevalence*

* 36 Low- & Middle-Income Countries; Aged 15 & over

Beyrer C, Epi Rev, 2010
Fewer MSM Access Services

- MSM are 19 times more likely to be HIV-positive than the general population in low & middle-income countries *
- Only 24-55% of MSM are reached by HIV prevention/care services **
- Only 37% of MSM report HIV testing in the past 12 months **

*Baral, 2008
** UN 2011
Gay Men & Health Survey 2012

- Move beyond individual level predictors of MSM Health
- Develop MSM Sexual Health Framework

- Chinese, French, Spanish, Georgian, Russian, English
- Administered from April to August 2012

- N = 6800
- Mean age 35 years (range: 12-90 years)
- Participants described themselves as:
  - “gay.” (84%),
  - “bisexual.” (13%),
  - “heterosexual” (2 %),
  - “other” (1%).
## Barrier to Accessing Condoms

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Poorer access to Condoms associated with greater:</th>
<th>AOR</th>
<th>95% CI</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Homophobia</td>
<td>0.69</td>
<td>0.59 – 0.81</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Provider Stigma</td>
<td>0.64</td>
<td>0.49 – 0.85</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Better access to Condoms associated with greater:</th>
<th>AOR</th>
<th>95% CI</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Community Engagement</td>
<td>1.28</td>
<td>1.08 – 1.51</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Comfort with provider</td>
<td>1.49</td>
<td>1.34 – 1.67</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
### Barrier to Accessing HIV-Testing

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Poorer access to HIV-Testing</th>
<th>AOR</th>
<th>95% CI</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Homophobia</td>
<td>0.67</td>
<td>0.57 – 0.79</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Negative-consequences for outness</td>
<td>0.82</td>
<td>0.71 – 0.93</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Better access to HIV-Testing</th>
<th>AOR</th>
<th>95% CI</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Community Engagement</td>
<td>1.27</td>
<td>1.07 – 1.51</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Comfort with provider</td>
<td>1.75</td>
<td>1.56 – 1.96</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
## Barrier to Accessing HIV-Treatment

**Poorer access to HIV-Treatment**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Associated with greater:</th>
<th>AOR</th>
<th>95% CI</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Homophobia</td>
<td>0.41</td>
<td>0.28 – 0.57</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Better access to HIV-Treatment**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Associated with greater:</th>
<th>AOR</th>
<th>95% CI</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Comfort with provider</td>
<td>1.39</td>
<td>1.08 – 1.79</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*Access to HIV treatment analyzed only for respondents who reported being HIV-positive.
CONSIDERATIONS
Must Address Structural, Societal & Individual Factors Concurrently

**Structural**
- Political Will
- Laws, policies & Practices
- Mass Media
- Homophobia
- Criminalization
- Cultural Norms
- Discrimination
- Poverty
- Poor Access to Services

**Societal/Interpersonal**
- Community Mobilization & Capacity
- Provider Sensitization
- Education & Training
- Health Provider & Staff:
  - MSM Health Knowledge
  - Stigma toward MSM
  - Maltreatment of MSM
- Daily Living:
  - Extortion
  - Blackmail
  - Ridicule
  - Eviction
  - Violence

**Individual**
- Resiliency
- Mental Health Services
- Adherence Services
- Linkage to Care
- Social Connectivity
- Fear
- Poor self-worth
- Depression
- Anxiety
- Suicide
- Substance Abuse
- Misinformation
- Delay / Avoidance:
  - Testing
  - Treatment
  - Follow-up
- Medication:
  - Interruption
  - Sharing
  - Selling
  - Misuse

**Moderators**
- Barriers
- Facilitators

**Mediators**
- Gay/MSM-specific or tailored:
  - Safe Spaces (CBOs/ NGOs)
  - Mental Health Care Services
  - Comprehensive Health Care Approach

**Service Context (e.g. Prep Implementation)**
Policy makers, researchers, providers & advocates must work collaboratively to sustainably reduce structural barriers that fuel poor physical, emotional & spiritual health of gay/MSM.

Community Engagement & alliances within & across multiple sectors that foster dignity, self-worth & well-being of gay/MSM as full members of a civil society are greatly needed & will benefit us all.
“New IOM Report Highlights PEPFAR’s Successes, Calls on Initiative to Intensify Efforts to Enhance Partner Countries Management of Programs and to Improve Prevention.”

- OGAC Press Release Headline
Focus on Prevention

- “More than 85% of new HIV infections are sexually acquired…”
  - Karim et al. 2007, Gouws et al. 2006

- “To stop the spread of the epidemic, PEPFAR will need a more comprehensive and balanced approach, with greater clarity in its operational guidance and mechanisms to support the development, implementation, monitoring and evaluation of prevention portfolios in country programs that are aligned with the drivers of epidemics and the need for prevention services.”
  - Chapter 5, IOM Evaluation of PEPFAR Report
Observations

- “Prevention must remain the mainstay of the HIV response.”

- While program have evolved and priorities changed, Prevention has become a catch-all term devoid of clear strategic and operational objectives.

- Similarly, there are constant updated guidance, but none include new targeted outcomes or best practice to develop, implement and monitor a comprehensive portfolio across all modalities reflecting the country’s context.
Observations, cont.

- PEPFAR has invested much in Implementation Science, but substantial knowledge gaps remain because behavioral and structural interventions do not yield to simple inputs, activities, outputs and coverage that are easy to assess.

- PEPFAR obsession with Randomized Designs needs re-thinking, as is the need to explore Modeling as a proxy for measuring impact.
Relevance to MSM

For MSM, the report inadequately states:

“Over time, PEPFAR has increasingly supported data collection and prevention programming for MSM, which PEPFAR has recently codified in programmatic guidance. MSM are recognized as an important population for prevention and other PEPFAR-supported programming.”
New Funding Mechanism

- Country Dialogue required by the new modality has early supporters among MSM in El Salvador and Zimbabwe.

- DRC faces the challenge of not having an organized MSM advocacy group, but senior leadership within the MOH is surprisingly open and supportive.

- Early optimism does not erase worries for 2014 roll out of the new Mechanism.
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